




Globalwerte

Globalindikator


1. Presentation of the learning material
2. Structure
3. Supervision / Support
4. Overall evaluation




Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




1. Presentation of the learning material



1.6) The instructor appeared to be well-prepared.




1.7) The media incorporated in the course (board, transparencies, presentations, ...) contributed to the understanding of the learning material.




2. Structure


2.1) The course is part of a module.



2.2) This part of the module is in line with the module as a whole.




2.3) The material of the course corresponds to the description of the module.




3. Supervision / Support


3.1) The instructor was able to answer questions posed in class satisfactorily.




3.2) The requirements for earning a "Schein" were clearly stated.




3.3) There was sufficient opportunity to speak with the instructor during his or her office hours.



3.4) In general, the instructor offered adequate assistance and support in regard to the learning of course material.




3.5) The instructor discriminates against certain students.




4. Overall evaluation


4.1) The overall effectiveness of this course was




4.2) In general, I found the course to be



4.3) The difficulty level of the material covered in this course was




4.4) The pace at which the instructor covered course material was



5. Time expenditure


5.1) How often did you attend this course?




5.2) How much time did you spend per week in order to prepare for this course (not taking into account the actual time spent in the course or in preparation for your examinations)?



5.3) I always prepared for the course adequately.




5.4) I had no problems finding time to follow up on the material covered in class.



6. Motivation

6.1) I took this course (you may give several reasons)...




7. Personal data

7.1) Please state your gender




7.2) Which "Fachsemester" are you currently in?




n=8

Histogramme zu den Skalafragen


The aim of the course was clear from the very beginning.




By the end of the semester, the aim of the course had been achieved.




Each session adequately made reference to the material covered in the last session.




The instructor made sure that students understood the learning material




The explanations provided by the instructor were comprehensible.




The instructor appeared to be well-prepared.




The media incorporated in the course (board, transparencies, presentations, ...) contributed to the




This part of the module is in line with the module as a whole.




The material of the course corresponds to the description of the module.




The instructor was able to answer questions posed in class satisfactorily.




The requirements for earning a "Schein" were clearly stated.




There was sufficient opportunity to speak with the instructor during his or her office hours.




In general, the instructor offered adequate assistance and support in regard to the learning of course




The overall effectiveness of this course was




In general, I found the course to be



I always prepared for the course adequately.



I had no problems finding time to follow up on the material covered in class.



Profillinie

Teilbereich: FB B - Wirtschaftswissenschaft
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Hans Frambach,
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Microeconomic Theory (MWiWi 2.13)
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Presentation of the learning material

1.1) The aim of the course was clear from the very beginning.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,7
1.2) By the end of the semester, the aim of the course had been achieved.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=2,3	md=2,5	s=0,9
1.3) Each session adequately made reference to the material covered in the last session.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,6
1.4) The instructor made sure that students understood the learning material	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,7
1.5) The explanations provided by the instructor were comprehensible.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,8
1.6) The instructor appeared to be well-prepared.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,8	md=1,5	s=0,9
1.7) The media incorporated in the course (board, transparencies, presentations, ...) contributed to the understanding of the learning material.	completely		not at all	n=7	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,8

2. Structure

2.2) This part of the module is in line with the module as a whole.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=2,4	md=2,5	s=1,3
2.3) The material of the course corresponds to the description of the module.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5

3. Supervision / Support


3.1) The instructor was able to answer questions posed in class satisfactorily.	completely		not at all	n=7	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
3.2) The requirements for earning a "Schein" were clearly stated.	completely		not at all	n=7	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,5
3.3) There was sufficient opportunity to speak with the instructor during his or her office hours.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
3.4) In general, the instructor offered adequate assistance and support in regard to the learning of course material.	completely		not at all	n=8	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,6

4. Overall evaluation

4.1) The overall effectiveness of this course was	very high		very low	n=8	mw=2,9	md=3,0	s=0,6
4.2) In general, I found the course to be	very good		very bad	n=8	mw=2,5	md=2,0	s=0,8

5. Time expenditure

5.3) I always prepared for the course adequately.



n=8 mw=2,8 md=3,0 s=0,9

5.4) I had no problems finding time to follow up on the material covered in class.



n=8 mw=2,5 md=3,0 s=1,1

Präsentationsvorlage


Microeconomic Theory (MWiWi 2.13)
Prof. Dr. Hans Frambach,
Erfasste Fragebögen = 8

1. Presentation of the learning material



mw=1,9

2. Structure




mw=1,9

3. Supervision / Support



mw=1,6

4. Overall evaluation



mw=2,7

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

8. Further questions

8.1) Are there any other courses that cover the same material? Did you find this positive or negative?

There is no course that covers the same material.

Haven't found yet.

I did not find such a course yet.

8.2) What did you particularly like about this course? Why?

The prof. is very nice & enthusiastic with students.

The professor ^{is good in} explaining.

That the slides are complete, easily to understand

The examples.

8.3) What did you particularly dislike about this course? Why? What can be improved in the future in this regard?

The explanation of the course could be more interesting instead of sticking with the slides. So it would be easier for us to understand. Maybe add some real life examples more practical examples in the next year so student can understand the theory better.

The material language is very complicated. Could be explained in a simpler way so that students could understand it better.

Microeconomics is combined with Public Finance, which makes the students confused as the 2 courses don't closely match with each other, especially for the exam.

The tempo of the explanations

The starting hour and the script (to much information. Hard to read)